



# Comprehensive Needs Assessment 2024-2025 School Report



Richmond County

~~Belair Elementary~~

Richmond Hill Elementary

## 1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

### 1.1 Identification of Team

The comprehensive needs assessment team consists of people who are responsible for working collaboratively throughout the needs assessment process. Ideal team members possess knowledge of programs, the capacity to plan and implement the needs assessment, and the ability to ensure stakeholder involvement. A required team member's name may be duplicated when multiple roles are performed by the same person. Documentation of team member involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the [Planning and Preparation webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Leadership Team

	Position/Role	Name
Team Member # 1	Principal	Dr. Shawnda Spruill
Team Member # 2	Assistant Principal	Patrice Dukes
Team Member # 3	Assistant Principal	Tamara Thomas
Team Member # 4	Instructional Specialist	Dr. Alex Buggs
Team Member # 5	K-3 GADOE Reading Specialist	Jennifer Shackleford
Team Member # 6	STEM Teacher	Dr. Jennifer Donaldson
Team Member # 7	Augmented Teacher	Hayley Rossi

#### Additional Leadership Team

	Position/Role	Name
Team Member # 1	Sped Teacher	Jakari Harden
Team Member # 2	Teacher	Angelica Harris
Team Member # 3	Teacher	Beverly Lassiter
Team Member # 4	Teacher	Pamela Doss
Team Member # 5	Teacher	Teresa Wallace
Team Member # 6	Teacher	Deepali Trivedi
Team Member # 7	Teacher	Deborah Edwards
Team Member # 8	Teacher	Keishawndra Banks
Team Member # 9	Counselor	Calandria Fields
Team Member # 10		

1. PLANNING AND PREPARATION

1.2 Identification of Stakeholders

Stakeholders are those individuals with valuable experiences and perspective who will provide the team with important input, feedback, and guidance. Required stakeholders must be engaged in the process to meet the requirements of participating federal programs. Documentation of stakeholder involvement must be maintained by the LEA. Watch the [Planning and Preparation webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

Stakeholders

	Position/Role	Name
Stakeholder # 1	Parent	P. Dizzley
Stakeholder # 2	Parent	B. Dizzley
Stakeholder # 3	Program Director- Boys & Girls Club	Tyron Ashley
Stakeholder # 4	PTO President	P. Dizzley
Stakeholder # 5		
Stakeholder # 6		
Stakeholder # 7		
Stakeholder # 8		

How will the team ensure that stakeholders and in particular parents and/or guardians, were able to provide meaningful input?

PTO meetings and Annual Title I Input and Revision Meeting throughout the school year.

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.1 Coherent Instructional System

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of instructional needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Coherent Instructional System webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Curriculum Standard 1</b> -Uses systematic, collaborative planning processes so that teachers share an understanding of expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A systematic, collaborative process is used proactively for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Nearly all teachers or groups of teachers, support staff, and leaders within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>A systematic, collaborative process is used regularly for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Most teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p>	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>A collaborative process is used occasionally for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Some teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p>	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>A collaborative process is rarely, if ever, used for curriculum planning.</p> <p>Few, if any, teachers or groups of teachers within the school have common expectations for standards, curriculum, assessment, and instruction.</p>	

## Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Curriculum Standard 2</b> -Designs curriculum documents and aligns resources with the intended rigor of the required standards		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope, and sequence documents, guides) that are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards are the products of a systematic, collaborative process.</p> <p>These curriculum documents and resources are used and continuously revised by teachers and support staff to ensure an alignment with the intended, taught, and tested standards.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Curriculum documents (e.g., lesson plans, unit plans, performance tasks, curriculum maps, scope and sequence documents, guides) have been designed, and resources are aligned with the intended rigor of the required standards.</p> <p>These curriculum documents and resources guide the work of teachers and instructional support staff.</p>	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Curriculum documents and resources exist, but they are not complete in all content areas or grade levels or lack the intended rigor of the required standards.	<b>X</b>
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, curriculum documents and resources exist to support the implementation of the intended rigor of the required standards.	

<b>Instruction Standard 1</b> -Provides a supportive and well -managed environment conducive to learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school.</p> <p>Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms.	<b>X</b>
<b>3. Emerging</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms.	

## Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Instruction Standard 2 -Creates an academically challenging learning environment</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	<b>X</b>
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	

<b>Instruction Standard 3 -Establishes and communicates clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to curriculum standards</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards. Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work. Articulation of the learning targets is consistent and pervasive among like content areas and grade levels.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.  Learning targets are evident throughout the lesson and in student work.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some teachers establish and communicate clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.	<b>X</b>
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any teachers establish clear learning targets and success criteria aligned to the required curriculum standards.	

<b>Instruction Standard 4 -Uses research based instructional practices that positively impact student learning</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all teachers pervasively demonstrate a repertoire of highly effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching).	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning (e.g., providing feedback, cooperative learning, advance organizers, questioning techniques, similarities and differences, reinforcing effort, goal setting, summarizers, graphic representations, reciprocal teaching).	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.	<b>X</b>
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.	

## Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Instruction Standard 5 -Differentiates instruction to meet specific learning needs of students</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Nearly all teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.</p> <p>Nearly all teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).</p> <p>Remediation, enrichment, and acceleration are pervasive practices.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Most teachers differentiate instruction (e.g., using flexible grouping, making adjustments, providing choices based upon readiness levels, interests, or needs) to meet the specific learning needs of students.</p> <p>Most teachers plan and implement multiple means of representation, engagement, action, and expression to meet the learning needs of students (UDL).</p>	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers differentiate instruction to meet the specific learning needs of students.	X

<b>Instruction Standard 6 -Uses appropriate, current technology to enhance learning</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The use by staff members and students of appropriate, current technology to enhance learning is an institutional practice (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving).	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most staff members and students use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning (e.g., facilitate communication, collaboration, research, design, creativity, problem-solving).	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some staff members, students, or both use appropriate, current technology to enhance learning.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers demonstrate a repertoire of effective, research-based instructional practices that positively impact student learning.	X

## Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Instruction Standard 7</b> -Provides feedback to students on their performance on the standards or learning targets		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance.  Nearly all teachers systematically elicit diagnostic information from individual students regarding their understanding of the standards or learning targets.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, timely, descriptive feedback on their performance.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with specific, descriptive feedback on their performance.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, teachers use the language of the standards or learning targets to provide students with feedback on their performance, or the feedback that is provided is not specific, timely, or understandable.	X

<b>Instruction Standard 8</b> -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	X

<b>Instruction Standard 9</b> -Provides timely, systematic, data -driven interventions		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs.  Interventions are designed to meet the needs of each student. The effectiveness of those interventions is consistently monitored and adjustments are made.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most students are provided timely, systematic, data-driven interventions to support their learning needs.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some students are provided extra assistance or needed support in a timely manner.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, students are provided extra assistance or effective support in a timely manner.	X



## Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Assessment Standard 1</b> -Aligns assessments with the required curriculum standards		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards. Assessments are reviewed during the school year to ensure alignment.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, assessments are aligned with the required curriculum standards.	

<b>Assessment Standard 3</b> -Uses common assessments aligned with the required standards to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Teachers consistently use common assessments aligned with the required standards in nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both for diagnostic, summative, and formative purposes.  The data from the common assessments are analyzed down to the item level, and the results are used to inform instruction and improve teacher practices.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Teachers use common assessments aligned with the required standards in most content areas to monitor student progress, inform instruction, and improve teacher practices.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Teachers use some common assessments aligned with the required standards in a few content areas with a limited amount of data analysis to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices.	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Teachers use few, if any, common assessments to monitor student progress, inform instruction, or improve teacher practices.	

<b>Assessment Standard 4</b> -Implements a process to collaboratively analyze assessment results to adjust instruction		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Teachers extensively use a systematic, collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is consistently adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results across all content areas, grade levels, or both.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Teachers regularly use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is routinely adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Teachers occasionally use a collaborative process to analyze assessment results. Instruction is sometimes adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	A collaborative process to analyze assessment results does not exist. Instruction is rarely, if ever, adjusted based on the analysis of assessment results.	

## Coherent Instruction Data

<b>Assessment Standard 5</b> -Implements grading practices that provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The grading practices used by teachers across nearly all content areas, grade levels, or both, consistently provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The grading practices used by teachers in most content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The grading practices used by teachers in some content areas, grade levels, or both provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The grading practices used by teachers rarely, if ever, provide an accurate indication of student progress on the required standards.	

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.2 Effective Leadership

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of leadership needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Effective Leadership webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Effective Leadership Data

Leadership Standard 1 -Builds and sustains relationships to foster the success of students and staff		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Administrators consistently build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff.  The school staff is fully engaged in relationship building through collaboration, internal and external communication, and building trust with staff, students, families, and community stakeholders.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Administrators regularly build and sustain relationships to foster the success of students and staff.	X
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Administrators sometimes build relationships to foster the success of students and staff.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Administrators seldom, if ever, build relationships to foster the success of students and staff.	

Leadership Standard 2 -Initiates and manages change to improve staff performance and student learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning.  Administrators, the school leadership team, and other teacher leaders create a sense of urgency for change and effectively communicate a common vision.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Administrators and the school leadership team initiate and sustain change to improve staff performance and student learning.  The principal provides an appropriate balance of pressure and support to manage the change process for desired results.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Administrators initiate change to improve staff performance and student learning but do not sustain the change, remove barriers, or both.	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Administrators initiate few, if any, changes that impact staff performance and student learning.	

## Effective Leadership Data

<b>Leadership Standard 3</b> -Uses systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>The principal and other school leaders continually use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.</p> <p>The principal and other school leaders have a comprehensive knowledge and understanding of the best practices for curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The principal and other school leaders often use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The principal and other school leaders occasionally use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The principal and other school leaders rarely, if ever, use systems to ensure effective implementation of curriculum, assessment, instruction, and professional learning practices.	

<b>Leadership Standard 4</b> -Uses processes to systematically analyze data to improve student achievement		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Extensive, comprehensive processes, including root cause analysis, are used consistently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Numerous processes are used frequently to analyze data (e.g., multiple sources of data: classroom, grade level, departmental, and subgroup, perception data) to improve student achievement.	X
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some processes are in place and used occasionally to analyze data to improve student achievement.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, processes are in place to analyze data to improve student achievement.	

<b>Leadership Standard 5</b> -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.</p> <p>Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both.	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving.	

## Effective Leadership Data

<b>Leadership Standard 6</b> -Establishes and supports a data-driven school leadership team that is focused on student learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A highly effective, proactive, and data-driven school leadership team is focused on student learning.</p> <p>The leadership team addresses nearly all areas of student and staff learning and school leadership, including the development, implementation, and regular monitoring of the school improvement plan.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>A data-driven school leadership team is established with stakeholder representation (e.g., core and non-core teachers, certified support staff) and is focused on student learning.</p> <p>The school leadership team meets regularly and uses norms and protocols to work effectively and efficiently.</p>	X
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The school leadership team is established and has some stakeholder representation but is focused chiefly on school operations rather than student learning.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	A school leadership team does not exist or does not have adequate stakeholder representation.	

<b>Leadership Standard 7</b> -Monitors and evaluates the performance of teachers and other staff using multiple data sources		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff through observations, surveys, data, and documentation is consistent and comprehensive, resulting in highly accurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>A comprehensive system is in place to provide teachers and staff with ongoing, accurate, timely, detailed, descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p> <p>Administrators use the evaluation process to identify role models, teacher leaders, or both.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff regularly occurs using data or documentation, generally resulting in accurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive accurate, timely, descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p>	X
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff is inconsistent, incomplete, or lacks data or documentation, sometimes resulting in inaccurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive some descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>Monitoring the performance of teachers and other staff rarely occurs or often results in inaccurate performance evaluations.</p> <p>Teachers and staff receive little or no descriptive feedback related to their performance.</p>	

## Effective Leadership Data

<b>Leadership Standard 8 -Provides ongoing support to teachers and other staff</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	A comprehensive support system that is timely and targeted to individual needs is provided to teachers and other staff.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most support provided to teachers and other staff is targeted to individual needs.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some support provided to teachers and staff is targeted to individual needs.	<b>X</b>
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Support to teachers and staff does not exist or is not targeted to individual needs.	

<b>Planning and Organization Standard 1 -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.</p> <p>The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.</p> <p>The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.</p>	<b>X</b>
<b>3. Emerging</b>	A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members.	

## Effective Leadership Data

<b>Planning and Organization Standard 2</b> -Uses a data-driven and consensus-oriented process to develop and implement a school improvement plan that is focused on student performance		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a strong focus on increasing student performance.</p> <p>This process and plan consistently guide the work of the school staff.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>A school improvement plan has been developed using a data-driven and consensus-oriented process with input from most plan stakeholders.</p> <p>The plan includes appropriate goals and strategies with a focus on increasing student performance.</p>	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>A school improvement plan has been developed with input from some stakeholders.</p> <p>The school improvement plan is based on incomplete data analysis with limited focus on student performance.</p>	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	An up-to-date, data-driven school improvement plan focused on student performance is not in place.	

<b>Planning and Organization Standard 3</b> -Monitors implementation of the school improvement plan and makes adjustments as needed		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are continually monitored by administrators, the school leadership team, and teacher leaders to evaluate the impact on student performance.</p> <p>Ongoing adjustments are made based on various performance, process, and perception data.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are regularly monitored by administrators and the school leadership team to evaluate the impact on student performance.</p> <p>Adjustments are made to the plan, as needed, based on the analysis of data.</p>	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are occasionally monitored by administrators.	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The goals and strategies of the school improvement plan are rarely, if ever, monitored.	

## Effective Leadership Data

Planning and Organization Standard 4 -Monitors the use of available resources to support continuous improvement		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is consistently monitored.</p> <p>School schedules and processes are designed to make effective use of personnel, time, materials, and equipment.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The use of available resources (e.g., personnel, time, facilities, equipment, materials) to support continuous improvement is frequently monitored.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is inconsistently monitored.	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The use of available resources to support continuous improvement is rarely, if ever, monitored.	

Planning and Organization Standard 5 -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, policies, schedules, and procedures to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed with stakeholder input, effectively communicated, and consistently implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.</p> <p>These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are consistently reviewed and revised as needed.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed, communicated, and implemented throughout the school to maximize student learning and staff effectiveness.</p> <p>These rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are periodically reviewed and systematically revised as needed.</p>	X
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Rules, policies, schedules, and procedures are developed but are not effectively communicated or are implemented inconsistently across the school.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>Rules, policies, or procedures are not developed, are poorly communicated, or are ineffectively implemented.</p> <p>In some cases, rules, policies, schedules, or procedures are out of date or have become barriers to student learning or staff effectiveness.</p>	



## Effective Leadership Data

<b>Planning and Organization Standard 6</b> -Uses protocols to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used extensively to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.</p> <p>A proactive maintenance process is in place, and repairs are completed in a satisfactory and timely manner, when needed.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Protocols (e.g., safety drills, tornado drills, inclement weather plans, current crisis plan, school-wide safety plan, maintenance protocols, facility-use protocols, functional custodial schedules) are used to maintain the school campus and equipment providing a safe, clean, and inviting learning environment.</p> <p>The school and campus are clean, well-maintained, inviting, and safe.</p>	X
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>Protocols are sometimes used to maintain the school campus and equipment.</p> <p>The school and campus are partially clean, maintained, and inviting, but some safety issues exist.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>Protocols do not exist or are rarely, if ever, used to maintain the school campus and equipment.</p> <p>The school and campus are not clean, maintained, or inviting, and safety issues exist.</p>	

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.3 Professional Capacity

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of professional capacity needs. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Professional Capacity webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Professional Capacity Data

<b>Leadership Standard 5</b> -Builds leadership capacity through shared decision-making and problem-solving		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Extensive structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.  Administrators collaborate consistently with staff members to gather input.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Numerous structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making and problem-solving and to build their leadership capacities.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making, problem-solving, or both.	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, structures exist for staff to engage in shared decision-making or problem-solving.	

<b>Professional Learning Standard 1</b> -Aligns professional learning with needs identified through analysis of a variety of data		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Professional learning needs are identified and differentiated through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families).  Ongoing support is provided through differentiated professional learning.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Professional learning needs are identified through a collaborative analysis process using a variety of data (e.g., student achievement data, examination of student work, process data, teacher and leader effectiveness data, action research data, perception data from students, staff, and families).	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Professional learning needs are identified using limited sources of data.	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Professional learning needs are identified using little or no data.	

## Professional Capacity Data

<b>Professional Learning Standard 2</b> -Establishes a culture of collaboration among administrators and staff to enhance individual and collective performance		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Administrators and staff, as a foundational practice, consistently collaborate to support leadership and personal accountability and to enhance individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback).  Teachers conduct action research and assume ownership of professional learning processes.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Administrators and staff routinely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance (e.g., construct knowledge, acquire skills, refine practice, provide feedback).	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Administrators and staff sometimes collaborate to improve individual and collective performance.	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Administrators and staff rarely collaborate to improve individual and collective performance.	

<b>Professional Learning Standard 3</b> -Defines expectations for implementing professional learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both consistently define expectations for the implementation of professional learning, including details regarding the stages of implementation and how monitoring will occur as implementation progresses.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both regularly define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both occasionally define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Administrators, teacher leaders, or both rarely, if ever, define expectations for the implementation of professional learning.	

## Professional Capacity Data

Professional Learning Standard 4 -Uses multiple professional learning designs to support the various learning needs of the staff		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Staff members actively participate in job-embedded professional learning that engages collaborative teams in a variety of appropriate learning designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks).</p> <p>Professional learning includes extensive follow-up with descriptive feedback and coaching.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Staff members actively participate in professional learning, most of which is job-embedded, which includes multiple designs (e.g., collaborative lesson study, analysis of student work, problem-solving sessions, curriculum development, coursework, action research, classroom observations, online networks) to support their various learning needs.</p> <p>Professional learning includes follow-up with feedback and coaching.</p>	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some staff members are engaged in professional learning that makes use of more than one learning design to address their identified needs.	<b>X</b>
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Staff members receive single, stand-alone professional learning events that are informational and mostly large-group presentation designs.	

Professional Learning Standard 5 -Allocates resources and establishes systems to support and sustain effective professional learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Extensive resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are allocated to support and sustain effective professional learning.</p> <p>Opportunities to practice skills, receive follow-up, feedback, and coaching are provided to support the effectiveness of professional learning.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Adequate resources (e.g., substitute teachers, materials, handouts, tools, stipends, facilitators, technology) and systems (e.g., conducive schedules, adequate collaborative time, model classrooms) are in place to support and sustain professional learning.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some resources and systems are allocated to support and sustain professional learning.	<b>X</b>
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, resources and systems are provided to support and sustain professional learning.	

## Professional Capacity Data

<b>Professional Learning Standard 6</b> -Monitors and evaluates the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and increases in student learning occurs extensively.  Evaluation results are used to identify and implement processes to extend student learning.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices and student learning occurs routinely.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs sporadically.	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Monitoring and evaluating the impact of professional learning on staff practices occurs rarely, if ever.	

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.4 Family and Community Engagement

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to family and community engagement. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). See the [Family and Community Engagement webinar](#) for additional information and guidance. Visit Georgia's Family Connection Partnership's [KIDS COUNT](#) for additional data.

#### Family and Community Engagement Data

<b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 1</b> -Creates an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The school has a well-established, inviting learning environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.  Numerous opportunities are given to family members to become actively engaged in school-related events and improvement efforts as participants, event managers, and workers.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The school has created an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.	X
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The school has made some progress toward creating an environment that welcomes, encourages, and connects family and community members to the school.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The school has not created an environment that welcomes, encourages, or connects family and community members to the school.	

<b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 2</b> -Establishes structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Extensive structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented.  Structures are continuously monitored for reliable and interactive communication.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders have been effectively established and implemented.	X
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, structures that promote clear and open communication between the school and stakeholders exist.	

## Family and Community Engagement Data

<b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 3</b> -Establishes relationships and decision-making processes that build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A wide variety of relationships and collaborative decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services, post-secondary partnerships) are pervasive in promoting student success and well being.</p> <p>Expectations for family and community engagement are embedded in the culture and result in stakeholders being actively involved in decision-making.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Numerous relationships and decision-making processes (e.g., business partnerships, school councils, parent or family organizations, academic and extra-curricular booster clubs, civic organizations, tutoring services) effectively build capacity for family and community engagement in the success of students.	X
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Limited relationships and decision-making processes have been initiated by the school to build capacity for family and community engagement.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Relationships and decision-making processes for families and the community are non-existent, or those that do exist contribute minimally to student success.	

<b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 4</b> -Communicates academic expectations and current student achievement status to families		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>The school staff provides families with ongoing, detailed academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols).</p> <p>Extensive communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, student-led parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system).</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>The school staff communicates academic expectations and/or graduation status (e.g., four-year graduation plans, syllabi, academic advisement protocols) throughout the year.</p> <p>Regular communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided (e.g., progress reports, parent conferences, report cards, reading level reports, state test reports, school-based assessment reports, online reporting system).</p>	X
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>The school staff communicates some academic expectations at the start of the year.</p> <p>Some communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>The school staff does little to inform families of academic expectations.</p> <p>Little, if any, communication related to the current achievement level of individual students is provided.</p>	

## Family and Community Engagement Data

<b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 5 -Develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The school continually develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The school frequently develops the capacity (e.g., parent training, lunch and learn, make-it and take-it) of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The school occasionally develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.	<b>X</b>
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The school seldom, if ever, develops the capacity of families to use support strategies at home that will enhance academic achievement.	

<b>Family and Community Engagement Standard 6 -Connects families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The school has a systematic process in place to connect families with an array of agencies and resources (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The school regularly connects families to agencies and resources in the community (e.g., Y-Clubs, after-school programs, health and counseling services, community service agencies, civic organizations, tutoring services) to meet the needs of students.	<b>X</b>
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The school sometimes connects families to agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The school does little to connect families with agencies and resources in the community to meet the needs of students.	



## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.5 Supportive Learning Environment

Analyze the LEA's data (including sections 2.6) and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of needs related to a supportive learning environment. Complete a data-informed self-rating for each Georgia School Performance Standard (GSPS). Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students). See the [Supportive Learning Environment webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

#### Supportive Learning Environment Data

Instruction Standard 1 -Provides a supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning		
1. Exemplary	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident throughout the school.  Students consistently stay on-task and take responsibility for their own actions.	
2. Operational	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in most classrooms.	
3. Emerging	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in some classrooms.	X
4. Not Evident	A supportive and well-managed environment conducive to learning is evident in few, if any, classrooms.	

Instruction Standard 2 -Creates an academically challenging learning environment		
1. Exemplary	Nearly all teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).  Students consistently work independently and in teams to solve real-world problems that require advanced effort, decision-making, and critical and creative thinking.	
2. Operational	Most teachers create an academically challenging, learning environment (e.g., higher-order thinking skills and processes, active student engagement, relevance, collaboration).	
3. Emerging	Some teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	X
4. Not Evident	Few, if any, teachers create an academically challenging learning environment.	

## Supportive Learning Environment Data

<b>Instruction Standard 8</b> -Establishes a learning environment that empowers students to actively monitor their own progress		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Nearly all students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.  Nearly all students develop a sense of personal responsibility and accountability by engaging in record keeping, self-monitoring, sharing, exhibiting, and self-reflection.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Most students use tools (e.g., rubrics, checklists, exemplars) to actively monitor their own progress.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Few, if any, students use tools to actively monitor their own progress.	

<b>School Culture Standard 1</b> -Develops, communicates, and implements rules, practices, and procedures to maintain a safe, orderly learning environment		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are proactively developed, communicated, and consistently implemented across the school.  These rules, practices, and procedures are continually monitored and revised as needed.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly learning environment are developed, communicated, and implemented.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Rules, practices, and procedures are developed and communicated but are ineffective or inconsistently implemented across the school.	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Rules, practices, and procedures that maintain a safe, orderly, learning environment are not developed nor updated or are poorly communicated.	

## Supportive Learning Environment Data

<b>School Culture Standard 2</b> -Establishes a culture of trust and respect that promotes positive interactions and a sense of community		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Extensive evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A pervasive commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>Evidence (e.g., positive and respectful interactions, appreciation of diversity, tolerance, understanding) exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A sustained commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p>	X
<b>3. Emerging</b>	<p>Some evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>A limited commitment to promoting positive interactions and a sense of community is evident.</p>	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	<p>Little or no evidence exists that a culture of trust and respect has been established.</p> <p>Unresolved conflicts interfere with a sense of community.</p>	

<b>School Culture Standard 3</b> -Establishes a culture that supports the college and career readiness of students		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>Extensive evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.</p> <p>The school culture supports addressing individual achievement needs and strengths to prepare students for success.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	Evidence (e.g., advisement, career counseling, transition coaching, high expectations) exists that the beliefs and practices of the school support the college and career readiness of students.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	Some evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	Little or no evidence exists that the school supports the college and career readiness of students.	

## Supportive Learning Environment Data

<b>School Culture Standard 4 -Supports the personal growth and development of students</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	The school staff consistently provides a comprehensive system of support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to maximize the personal growth and development of nearly all students.	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The school staff regularly provides support (e.g., counseling, mentoring, advisement, coaching, goal setting, time management, problem solving) to enhance the personal growth and development of students.	
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The school staff sporadically supports the personal growth and development of students.	X
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The school staff does little to support the personal growth and development of students.	

<b>School Culture Standard 5 -Recognizes and celebrates achievements and accomplishments of students and staff</b>		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>The school community consistently recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff.</p> <p>The celebrations are publicized within the school and to the community and support the culture of the school.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	The school community regularly recognizes and celebrates the achievements and accomplishments of students and staff.	X
<b>3. Emerging</b>	The school community periodically recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students and/or staff.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	The school community rarely, if ever, recognizes or celebrates the achievements or accomplishments of students or staff.	

## Supportive Learning Environment Data

<b>Planning and Organization Standard 1</b> -Shares a common vision and mission that define the school culture and guide the continuous improvement process		
<b>1. Exemplary</b>	<p>A common vision and mission have been collaboratively developed and communicated to nearly all stakeholders.</p> <p>The culture of the school has been deeply defined over time by the vision and mission, which are updated as needed.</p> <p>The daily work and practices of staff consistently demonstrate a sustained commitment to continuous improvement.</p>	
<b>2. Operational</b>	<p>A common vision and mission have been developed through a collaborative process and communicated to most stakeholders.</p> <p>The vision and mission define the culture of the school and guide the continuous improvement process.</p>	X
<b>3. Emerging</b>	A common vision and mission have been developed by some staff members but have not been effectively communicated so that they guide the continuous improvement process.	
<b>4. Not Evident</b>	A common vision and mission have not been developed or updated or have been developed by a few staff members.	

## 2. DATA COLLECTION ANALYSIS

### 2.6 Data Analysis Questions

Analyze the LEA's data and answer the guiding questions to determine existing trends and patterns that support the identification of demographic and financial needs. Student subgroups with a count of less than 15 are denoted by "TFS" (too few students).

<b>What perception data did you use?</b> [examples: student perceptions about school climate issues (health survey, violence, prejudice, bullying, etc.); student/parent perceptions about the effectiveness of programs or interventions; student understanding of relationship of school to career or has an academic plan]	Georgia Health Survey, Panorama Survey, GSAPS Review, Title I Input Data
<b>What does the perception data tell you?</b> (perception data can describe people's knowledge, attitudes, beliefs, perceptions, competencies; perception data can also answer the question "What do people think they know, believe, or can do?")	Lack of knowledge regarding school structures, no shared mission and vision, focus on discipline not instruction
<b>What process data did you use?</b> (examples: student participation in school activities, sports, clubs, arts; student participation in special programs such as peer mediation, counseling, skills conferences; parent/student participation in events such as college information meetings and parent workshops)	Peer Counseling, Counseling small groups
<b>What does the process data tell you?</b> (process data describes the way programs are conducted; provides evidence of participant involvement in programs; answers the question "What did you do for whom?")	Assistance provided for student emotional and behavioral needs. Master Schedule revision

<b>What achievement data did you use?</b>	Comprehensive Needs assessment data, i-Ready data, GMAS data, and school level data.
<b>What does your achievement data tell you?</b>	Nearly all of the subgroups score Beginning or Developing learner
<b>What demographic data did you use?</b>	SI School Dashboard, Iready
<b>What does the demographic data tell you?</b>	Attendance, Student Enrollment, Discipline

### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### 3.1 Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

Read the trends and patterns summaries from each section of the data analysis process. Use the information in these summaries to complete 3.2 and 3.3. Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.2. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

##### Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

<b>Coherent Instructional:</b> Summarize the coherent instructional system trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?	Reviewed the follow data to determine the need for Tier 1 instruction: % of students scoring Beginning for ELA in all subgroups/scoring Developing for ELA in all subgroups Total % of students scoring Beginning and Developing for ELA in all subgroups % students scoring Beginning for Math in all subgroups/scoring Developing for Math in all subgroups Total % of students scoring
<b>Effective Leadership:</b> Summarize the effective leadership trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?	Leadership Team meets regularly to review GMAS, IReady Data, and TKES rating data. The team was able to design and implement the school wide intervention block, afterschool tutoring, and GMAS Review.
<b>Professional Capacity:</b> Summarize the professional capacity trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?	Collaborative planning was held consistently twice a week using the TAP framework from NIET. Additionally, Quarterly Professional Learning sessions were held with Iready to review student data for reteaching.
<b>Family and Community Engagement:</b> Summarize the family and community engagement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?	The school held Title I Annual meeting and Revision meetings. Leadership team will work to implement additional parental events this year.



## Strengths and Challenges Based on Trends and Patterns

<b>Supportive Learning Environment:</b> Summarize the supportive learning environment trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?	The data shows there were 80% of students were Tier 1 with 0-1 Office Discipline Referrals (ODRs)
--	---

<b>Demographic and Financial:</b> Summarize the demographic and financial trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?	Enrollment = 1280 Financial Trends: School Improvement & Consolidated Funds allocated for supplies, reading and math resources, salaries for Parent Facilitator, Literacy Paraprofessional, and Instructional Specialist Continued need to instructional support for teachers in the form of the Master Teachers
--	--

<b>Student Achievement:</b> Summarize the student achievement trends and patterns observed by the team while completing this section of the report. What are the important trends and patterns that will support the identification of student, teacher, and leader needs?	Decreasing trend for proficiency on Georgia Milestones in reading and math
--	--

IDEA – Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

<b>Strengths</b>	Receiving service hours weekly for pull-out, collaboration, and social skills Daily intervention for all students in reading/math to address deficient areas
------------------	---

IDEA – Special Education, Economically Disadvantaged Children, and English Learners.

Using the summaries in 3.1 and other local data, describe the strengths and challenges or answer the guiding questions for each program. Include strengths and challenges related to: a) general program implementation, and b) students and adults involved in or affected by the program. Focus on strengths and challenges that will assist in the identification of needs during 3.3. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

<b>Challenges</b>	<p>Reviewing IEP goals to improve student proficiency</p> <p>Daily attendance- Attendance Review Team will identify at risk students to create attendance plans for these students</p>
-------------------	--

### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### 3.2 Identification and Prioritization of Overarching Needs

Use the results of 3.1 to identify the overarching needs of the LEA. Determine the priority order of the identified needs based on data, team member and stakeholder knowledge, and answers to questions in the table below. Be sure to address the major program challenges identified in 3.1. Watch the [Identifying Need webinar](#) for additional information and guidance.

##### Overarching Need #1

Overarching Need	Students reading on grade level
How severe is the need?	HIGH
Is the need trending better or worse over time?	WORSE
Can Root Causes be Identified?	YES
Priority Order	1

Additional Considerations	
---------------------------	--

##### Overarching Need #2

Overarching Need	Students math on grade level
How severe is the need?	HIGH
Is the need trending better or worse over time?	WORSE
Can Root Causes be Identified?	YES
Priority Order	2

Additional Considerations	
---------------------------	--

##### Overarching Need #3

Overarching Need	Create a positive school and community culture
How severe is the need?	HIGH
Is the need trending better or worse over time?	BETTER
Can Root Causes be Identified?	Yes

Overarching Need #3

Priority Order	3
----------------	---

Additional Considerations	
---------------------------	--

### 3. NEEDS IDENTIFICATION AND ROOT CAUSE ANALYSIS

#### 3.3 Root Cause Analysis

Select the top 2-4 overarching needs from 3.2. Conduct a separate root cause analysis (RCA) for each need. Any RCA tools and resources can be used, but suggestions are available as part of the [Identifying Need webinar](#). After describing the RCA process, complete a table for each selected overarching need.

##### Overarching Need 1

Students reading on grade level

##### Root Cause # 1

Root Causes to be Addressed	Instructional Knowledge and Strategies
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	No
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	My View, Foundations, INSPIRE GaDOE, SAVVAS

Additional Responses	
----------------------	--

##### Root Cause # 2

Root Causes to be Addressed	Lack of phonics skills
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	No
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	Foundations, SAVVAS word study

Additional Responses	
----------------------	--

**Overarching Need 2**

Students performing on grade level in math

**Root Cause # 1**

Root Causes to be Addressed	Instructional Knowledge and Strategies
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	No
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	INSPIRE GaDOE, GADOE Learning Plan, Ready Math

Additional Responses	
----------------------	--

**Root Cause # 2**

Root Causes to be Addressed	Lack of numeracy and math fluency
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	No
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	Number Talks, 1 <sup>st</sup> in Math

Additional Responses	
----------------------	--

## Overarching Need 3

Increase students' desire to be at school or "like" for school.

### Root Cause # 1

Root Causes to be Addressed	Lack of building relationships among staff
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	No
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	Staff Recognition, Staff Moral Builders

Additional Responses	
----------------------	--

### Root Cause # 2

Root Causes to be Addressed	Richmond Hill staff expectations shared and explained
This is a root cause and not a contributing cause or symptom	No
This is something we can affect	Yes
Impacted Programs	Staff Handbook

Additional Responses	
----------------------	--







# School Improvement Plan 2024 - 2025



**Richmond County**

Richmond Hill Elementary School

# GEORGIA'S SYSTEMS OF CONTINUOUS IMPROVEMENT

The Georgia Department of Education's strategic plan emphasizes transforming the agency into one that provides meaningful support to schools and districts. The agency has developed a common, continuous improvement framework to ensure that these efforts are aligned across all agency divisions, departments, and programs. Georgia's Systems of Continuous Improvement focuses on the systems and structures (the "what") that must be in place for sustained improvement in student outcomes. It also utilizes a problem-solving model (the "how") to provide a clear process for identifying improvement needs, planning for improvement, and implementing, monitoring, and evaluating the improvement efforts.



## ***Systems to Improve (What to Improve)***

**Coherent Instructional System:** The major system of the complex school organization that articulates and guides the “what” and “how” of instruction. This comprehensive system includes the processes related to:

- *Planning for quality instruction* - The structure of the instructional system in which the district defines what the students should “know” and “do”, and determine how their students will show they “know” the content and can “do” a skill or performance task
- *Delivering quality instruction* - The structure of the instructional system that guides teachers in how they introduce content, practice its use along with the students, and then allow students to use the content on their own while providing students regular standards-based feedback to gain mastery of the content
- *Monitoring student progress* - The structure of the instructional system that methodically discovers if the students are getting the content, and what to do about it when they are getting it or are not getting it
- *Refining the instructional system* - The structure of the instructional system that examines how to improve the planning for quality instruction, delivering quality instruction, and monitoring student progress

**Effective Leadership:** A major system of the complex school organization that sets the direction for the school, ensures that the school staff and the school leaders are capable of meeting that direction, and makes sure the organization functions according to its mission. This system includes the processes related to:

- *Creating and maintaining a climate and culture conducive to learning* - the structure of the leadership system that ensures that the school allows both adults and children to put learning at the center of their daily activities
- *Cultivating and distributing leadership* - the structure of the leadership system that develops others to accomplish the group's purpose and encourages the development of leadership across the organization
- *Ensuring high quality instruction in all classrooms* - the structure of the leadership system that reduces the variability in the quality of instruction across all schools and all classrooms
- *Managing the school and its resources* - the structure of the leadership system that ensures leader effectively use all the resources at hand so that the district functions according to its mission
- *Driving improvement efforts* - the structure of the leadership systems that methodically, intentionally, and effectively improves the district's major systems, structures, and processes

**Professional Capacity:** A major system of the complex school organization that develops a quality staff to reduce the variance of quality in instruction throughout the school. This system includes the processes related to:

- *Attracting staff* - the structure of the professional capacity system that is intentional in locating the teachers and leaders that are the best fit for the district and its schools to achieve its mission
- *Developing staff* - the structure of the professional capacity system that ensures the increasing quality of the school staff's knowledge and skills
- *Retaining staff* - the structure of the professional capacity system that ensures the quality staff is working in the context/ position that is most beneficial to student achievement
- *Ensuring staff collaboration* - the structure of the professional capacity system that reinforces the effective practice of constant collaboration to improve instructional quality in all schools and in all classrooms

**Family and Community Engagement:** A major system of the complex school organization that develops quality links between school professionals and the parents and community the school is intended to serve. This system includes the processes related to:

- *Welcoming all families and the community* - The structure of the family and community engagement system that ensures families and the community are active participants in the life of the school, and feel welcomed, valued, and connected to each other, to school staff, and to what students are learning and doing in the school
- *Communicating effectively with all families and the community* - The structure of the family and community engagement system that ensures families/the community and school staff engage in regular, two-way, meaningful communication about student learning
- *Supporting student success* - the structure of the family and community engagement system that ensures families, communities, and school staff, continuously collaborate to support students' learning and healthy development both at home and at school, and have regular opportunities to strengthen their knowledge and skills to do so effectively
- *Empowering families* - the structure of the family and community engagement system that ensures families are empowered to be advocates for their own and other children, to ensure that students are treated fairly and have access to learning opportunities that will support their success
- *Sharing leadership with families and the community* - the structure of the family and community engagement system that ensures families/the community and school staff are equal partners in decisions that affect children and families and together inform, influence, and create policies, practices, and programs
- *Collaborating with the community* - the structure of the family and community engagement system that ensures families and school staff collaborate with community members to connect students, families, and staff to expanded learning opportunities, community services, and civic participation

**Supportive Learning Environment:** A major system of the complex school organization that ensures students' school participation and willingness to expend major effort on classroom learning. This system includes the processes related to:

- *Maintaining order and safety* - the structure of the supportive learning environment system that ensures that the basic needs of orderliness and safety are met
- *Developing and monitoring a system of supports* - the structure of the supportive learning environment system that provides comprehensive services to students to meet their unique, whole-child needs
- *Ensuring a student learning community* - the structure of the supportive learning environment system that ensures compliance with positive and healthy behavioral and academic norms

### ***Process to Improve (How to Improve)***

**Step 1: Identify Needs:** Consult many sources to determine what in the district needs improvement.

- Plan and prepare for the process
- Collect and analyze data
- Identify needs and conduct a root cause analysis

**Step 2: Select Interventions:** Research many sources to determine the solutions that have a good chance of meeting the identified district needs.

- Consider all the evidence for needed improvements
- Research possible interventions
- Determine if staff has the capacity to implement possible interventions

**Step 3: Plan Implementation:** Develop a team and plan to implement the solutions that are most promising and can be carried out at the school.

- Identify roles and responsibilities of those implementing the intervention
- Develop a team that will deeply understand the intervention and of best ways to implement it
- Develop the implementation timeline
- Identify resources and supports needed for the implementation of the intervention
- Develop a set of information to be reviewed to track the implementation

**Step 4: Implement Plan:** Carry out the plan to implement the promising solutions, making real-time adjustments where/when needed.

- Collect information to monitor the quality of supports being provided for the intervention
- Consider what additional information is needed to determine if intervention is working
- Assess the degree to which the implementation plan is being followed
- Identify ways to break down any barriers
- Build capacity of others to facilitate the improvement process now and in the future

**Step 5: Examine Progress:** Determine whether the implementation of the promising solutions is meeting the originally identified needs of the school.

- Determine if the staff can formally study the effects of the intervention to share with others in the field
- Monitor implementation and progress against defined goals
- Define reasonable expectations for success
- Identify and track progress and performance
- Develop a plan for how knowledge about the intervention will be shared with others
- Use the evidence to determine whether the intervention should continue as is, be modified, or be discontinued

# Georgia Department of Education

## School Improvement Plan

### 1. GENERAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN INFORMATION

<i>District Name</i>	Richmond County School System
<i>School Name</i>	Richmond Hill Elementary School
<i>Team Lead</i>	Dr. Shawnda Spruill
<i>Position</i>	Principal
<i>Email</i>	spruish@boe.richmond.k12.ga.us
<i>Phone</i>	706.834.8228

#### Federal Funding Options to Be Employed (SWP Schools) in This Plan (Select all that apply)

	Traditional funding (all Federal funds budgeted separately)
X	Consolidated funds (state/local and federal funds consolidated) - Pilot systems <b>ONLY</b>
	"Fund 400" - Consolidation of Federal funds only

#### Factor(s) Used by District to Identify Students in Poverty (Select all that apply)

X	Free/Reduced meal applications
	Community Eligibility Program (CEP) - Direct Certification <b>ONLY</b>
	Other (if selected, please describe below)

## 2.2 OVERARCHING NEED #1

Overarching Need	
Students reading on grade level	
Root Cause #1	Instructional Knowledge
Root Cause #2	Instructional Strategies
Root Cause #3	Non-traditional teaching training of new standards
Root Cause #4	Data Analysis of phonics and vocabulary
Root Cause #5	
<b>GOAL</b>	By the end of the 2024-2025 school year, Richmond Hill Elementary School will increase the content mastery in Math and ELA by an overall 25% from beginning to proficient as measured by Georgia Milestones Assessment in grades 3-5 and an overall 25% from two grade levels below to on grade as measured by Iready in grades K-2.



## COHERENT INSTRUCTIONAL SYSTEM

<b>GOAL</b>	By the end of the 2024-2025 school year, Richmond Hill Elementary School will increase the content mastery in Math and ELA by an overall 25% from beginning to proficient as measured by Georgia Milestones Assessment in grades 3-5 and an overall 25% from two grade levels below to on grade as measured by Iready in grades K-2.		
<b>Structure(s)</b>			
<b>Evidence-based Action Steps:</b> Describe the evidence-based action steps to be taken to achieve the goal.			
Action Steps	Possible Funding Source(s)	a. Timeline for Implementation	Position/Role Responsible
		b. Method for Monitoring	
1. Teachers will engage in collaborative planning a minimum ninety minutes per week to identify resources, identify instructional strategies, analyze weekly data worksheet, develop assessments, and deepen content knowledge to develop and create an effective lesson plan for instruction.	Consolidated Funds	a. August 2024 - May 2025	ELA IS, ELA teachers, Admin Team
		b. Agendas, Sign-in Sheets, Power points, Lesson plans,	
2. Teachers will engage in monthly professional learning sessions via the Short-Term Action Plan (STAP) and Instructional Long-Range Plan focusing on standards, instructional, data analysis strategies. The Core Leadership Team will create and conduct focus walks to monitor the implementation of the professional learning sessions.	Consolidated Funds	a. August 2024 - May 2025	ELA IS, Admin Team, ELA teachers
		b. Agendas, Sign-in Sheets, Power points, Lesson plans, work samples, data binders,walkthrough observations, TKES observation feedback	
3. During the daily thirty-minute school wide intervention students will be provided targeted differentiated instruction as identified by data. Teachers and students will use universal data screeners and diagnostic assessments to monitor and document their progress through the implementation of student data tracking.	Consolidated Funds	a. September 6, 2024 – April 4, 2025	ELA IS, Admin Team, ELA teachers
		b. Agendas, Sign-in Sheets, Power points, Lesson plans, work samples, data binders,, Eleot observations, TKES observation feedback	
4. Teachers in grades K-2 will utilize and provide consistent the phonics program, Foundations, to ensure explicit phonics and phonological awareness instruction is taught every day. Teachers in grades 3-5 will utilize the word study program, MyView (Savvas), to ensure accurate word knowledge and word-learning strategies to include 95% Group program.	Consolidated Funds	a. August 2024 - May 2025	ELA IS, Admin Team, ELA teachers
		b. Lesson plans, work samples, data binders, walkthrough Foundations observations, Foundations trackers, SAVVAS	
<b>Supplemental Supports:</b> What supplemental action steps will be implemented for these subgroups?			
<b>Economically Disadvantaged</b>		<b>Foster and Homeless</b>	
Support from EIP teacher, targeted intervention,		Wrap around services, Counselor support, SSW support, Support from EIP teacher, targeted intervention,	
<b>English Learners</b>		<b>Migrant</b>	
Support from ESOL teacher, targeted intervention		Support from ESOL teacher, EIP Teacher, targeted intervention	
<b>Race/Ethnicity/Minority</b>		<b>Students with Disabilities</b>	



EIP Teacher support, Targeted intervention	Support from Sped Services, Teachers, targeted intervention, support from Mental Health counselors, etc
--	---

## 2.3 OVERARCHING NEED #2

Overarching Need	
By the end of the 2024-2025 school year, Richmond Hill Elementary School will increase the content mastery in Math by an overall 25% from beginning to proficient as measured by Georgia Milestones Assessment in grades 3-5 and an overall 25% from two grade levels below to on grade as measured by Iready in grades K-5.	
Root Cause #1	Instructional Knowledge
Root Cause #2	Instructional Strategies
Root Cause #3	Non-traditional teaching training of new standards
Root Cause #4	Data Analysis of phonics and vocabulary
Root Cause #5	
<b>GOAL</b>	By the end of the 2024-2025 school year, Richmond Hill Elementary School will increase the content mastery in Math by an overall 25% from beginning to proficient as measured by Georgia Milestones Assessment in grades 3-5 and an overall 25% from two grade levels below to on grade as measured by Iready in grades K-5.

## COHERENT INSTRUCTIONAL SYSTEM

<b>GOAL</b>	By the end of the 2024-2025 school year, Richmond Hill Elementary School will increase the content mastery in Math by an overall 25% from beginning to proficient as measured by Georgia Milestones Assessment in grades 3-5 and an overall 25% from two grade levels below to on grade as measured by Iready in grades K-5.
-------------	--

<b>Structure(s)</b>	
---------------------	--

**Evidence-based Action Steps:** Describe the evidence-based action steps to be taken to achieve the goal.

Action Steps	Possible Funding Source(s)	a. Timeline for Implementation	Position/Role Responsible
		b. Method for Monitoring	
1. Teachers will engage in collaborative planning a minimum ninety minutes per week minimum twice a week to identify resources, identify instructional strategies, analyze weekly data worksheet, develop assessments, and deepen content knowledge to develop and create an effective lesson plan for instruction.	Consolidated Funds	a. August 2024 - May 2025	Math IS, Admin Team, Math teachers
		b. Agendas, Sign-in Sheets, Power points, Lesson plans,	
2. Teachers will engage in monthly professional learning sessions via the Short-Term Action Plan (STAP) and Instructional Long-Range Plan focusing on standards, instructional, data analysis strategies. The Core Leadership Team will create and conduct focus walks to monitor the implementation of the professional learning sessions.	Consolidated Funds	a. August 2024 - May 2025	Math IS, Admin Team, Math teachers
		b. Agendas, Sign-in Sheets, Power points, Lesson plans, work samples, data binders, walkthrough observations, TKES observation feedback	
3. During the daily thirty-minute school wide intervention students will be provided targeted differentiated instruction as identified by data. Teachers and students will use universal data screeners and diagnostic assessments to monitor and document their progress through the implementation of student data tracking.	Consolidated Funds	a. September 6, 2024 – April 4, 2025	Math IS, Admin Team, Math teachers
		b. Agendas, Sign-in Sheets, Power points, Lesson plans, work samples, data binders, walkthrough observations, TKES observation feedback	
4. RHES will partner with district numeracy specialists for Math to support teachers with effective use of GADOE Learning Plans.	Consolidated Funds	a. August 2024 - May 2025	Math IS, Admin Team, Math teachers
		b. Lesson plans, work samples, data binders, walkthrough observations, GADOE Learning Plans	

**Supplemental Supports:** What supplemental action steps will be implemented for these subgroups?

Economically Disadvantaged	Foster and Homeless
Support from EIP teacher, targeted intervention,	Wrap around services, Counselor support, SSW support, Support from EIP teacher, targeted intervention,
English Learners	Migrant
Support from ESOL teacher, targeted intervention	Support from ESOL teacher, EIP Teacher, targeted intervention
Race/Ethnicity/Minority	Students with Disabilities

EIP Teacher support, Targeted intervention	Support from Sped Services, Teachers, targeted intervention, support from Mental Health counselors, etc
--	---

## 2.4 OVERARCHING NEED #3

Overarching Need	
By the end of the 2024-2025 school year, Richmond Hill Elementary School will continue to build a supportive learning environment that integrates social, emotional, and academic support and instruction to increase student attendance by 25% from 70% to 95% and decrease the number of behavior incidences of disorderly conduct by 25% from 190 to 142 as measured by ABC Data reports in USHA.	
Root Cause #1	Student Attendance
Root Cause #2	Number of discipline referrals
Root Cause #3	Low parental involvement
Root Cause #4	Lack of Socio-emotional curriculum implementation
Root Cause #5	
<b>GOAL</b>	By the end of the 2024-2025 school year, Richmond Hill Elementary School will continue to build a supportive learning environment that integrates social, emotional, and academic support and instruction to increase student attendance by 25% from 70% to 95% and decrease the number of behavior incidences of disorderly conduct by 25% from 190 to 142 as measured by ABC Data reports in USHA.

## COHERENT INSTRUCTIONAL SYSTEM

<b>GOAL</b>	By the end of the 2024-2025 school year, Richmond Hill Elementary School will continue to build a supportive learning environment that integrates social, emotional, and academic support and instruction to increase student attendance by 25% from 70% to 95% and decrease the number of behavior incidences of disorderly conduct by 25% from 190 to 142 as measured by ABC Data reports in USHA.
-------------	--

<b>Structure(s)</b>	
---------------------	--

**Evidence-based Action Steps:** Describe the evidence-based action steps to be taken to achieve the goal.

Action Steps	Possible Funding Source(s)	a. Timeline for Implementation	Position/Role Responsible
		b. Method for Monitoring	
1. All staff will communicate elements of the schoolwide PBIS expectations, classroom management, and discipline intervention plans to create a positive learning environment for staff and students. PBIS & Instructional Leadership Team will monitor USHA data to track discipline referrals and monitor staff implementation of PBIS using a classroom focus walk tool.	Consolidated Funds	a. August 2024 - May 2025	Admin Team, PBIS Team, teachers
		b. Agendas, Sign-in Sheets, PBIS Handbook, lesson plans, monthly data	
2. RHES faculty and staff will implement Sanford Harmony Socioemotional curriculum.	Consolidated Funds	a. August 2024 - May 2025	Counselors, Admin Team, teachers
		b. Agendas, Sign-in Sheets, Sanford Harmony, lesson plans	
3. The Attendance Review Team will conduct weekly meetings to monitor student attendance and absences and set attendance goals. The team will develop an action plan of interventions that addresses barriers and increases engagement. Teachers will review and follow the RCSS Attendance Protocol Matrix.	Consolidated Funds	a. August 2024 - May 2025	ART team, Admin Team, Teachers
		b. Agendas, Sign-in Sheets, RCSS Attendance Protocol, Infinite Campus	
4. Parents will engage in monthly school events to increase their awareness of curriculum content, daily attendance, PBIS expectations, and student academic standing to build parent capacity and promote parental involvement.	Consolidated Funds	a. September 2024 - May 2025	Counselors, Admin Team, teachers, PBIS team, ILT Team
		b. Agendas, Sign-in Sheets, PowerPoint presentations	
5.		a.	
		b.	
6.		a.	
		b.	

**Supplemental Supports:** What supplemental action steps will be implemented for these subgroups?

Economically Disadvantaged	Foster and Homeless
Support from EIP teacher, targeted intervention,	Wrap around services, Counselor support, SSW support, Support from EIP teacher, targeted intervention,
English Learners	Migrant
Support from ESOL teacher, targeted intervention	Support from ESOL teacher, EIP Teacher, targeted intervention

Race/Ethnicity/Minority	Students with Disabilities
EIP Teacher support, Targeted intervention	Support from Sped Services, Teachers, targeted intervention, support from Mental Health counselors, etc

## 4. REQUIRED QUESTIONS

**4.a** - In developing this plan, briefly describe how the school sought advice from individuals (teachers, staff, other school leaders, paraprofessionals, specialized instructional support personnel, parents, community partners, and other stakeholders) was sought and included.

[Sec. 2103(b)(2)]

Richmond Hill Elementary School engaged in a comprehensive consultation process to develop this plan, seeking advice and input from a diverse array of stakeholders. Teachers, staff, other school leaders, and paraprofessionals were involved through focused group discussions and collaborative meetings, ensuring their frontline insights and experiences informed the plan. Specialized instructional support personnel provided expert advice on student needs and effective intervention strategies. Parents were included through surveys and open forums, allowing them to voice their concerns and suggestions. Additionally, community partners and other stakeholders participated in roundtable discussions, contributing their perspectives on how the school can better serve its students and community. This inclusive approach ensured that the plan was well-rounded and reflective of the community's needs and priorities.

**4.b** - Describe how the school will ensure that low-income and minority children enrolled in the Title I school are not served at disproportionate rates by ineffective, out-of-field, or inexperienced teachers.

[Sec. 1111(g)(1)(B)]

Richmond Hill Elementary School will ensure that low-income and minority children are not disproportionately served by ineffective, out-of-field, or inexperienced teachers by implementing a robust teacher evaluation and support system. This system will include regular performance reviews, targeted professional development, and mentoring programs for new and out-of-field teachers to enhance their effectiveness. Additionally, the school will prioritize equitable distribution of experienced and qualified teachers across all classrooms, with a focus on high-need areas. Data-driven decision-making and continuous monitoring will be employed to identify and address any disparities promptly, ensuring all students receive high-quality instruction.



**4.c - Provide a general description of the Title I instructional program being implemented at this Title I School. Specifically define the subject areas to be addressed and the instructional strategies/methodologies to be employed to address the identified needs of the most academically at-risk students in the school. Please include services to be provided for students living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children (if applicable).**

[Sec. 1114(b)(7)(ii)]

The Title I instructional program at Richmond Hill Elementary School is designed to support the most academically at-risk students through a comprehensive approach focusing on key subject areas including reading, mathematics, and science. The program employs evidence-based instructional strategies such as differentiated instruction, small group interventions, and personalized learning plans to meet the diverse needs of students. Teachers utilize formative assessments to identify gaps in learning and provide targeted support to ensure each student progresses towards academic proficiency. Additionally, professional development for educators is a cornerstone of the program, equipping them with the skills and knowledge to implement effective teaching practices. For students living in local institutions for neglected or delinquent children, the program offers tailored services including one-on-one tutoring, social-emotional learning support, and coordination with institutional staff to ensure continuity of education and address any specific challenges these students face.

**4.d** - If applicable, provide a description of how teachers, in consultation with parents, administrators, and pupil services personnel, will identify eligible children most in need of services in Title I targeted assistance schools/programs. Please include a description of how the school will develop and implement multiple (a minimum of 2) objective, academic-based performance criteria to rank students for service. Also include a description of the measurable scale (point system) that uses the objective criteria to rank all students.

[Sec. 1115(b)(1)]; [Sec.1115(c)(1)(B)]

At Richmond Hill Elementary School, the identification of eligible children most in need of services in Title I targeted assistance programs is a collaborative process involving teachers, parents, administrators, and pupil services personnel. The school will develop and implement multiple academic-based performance criteria to rank students for service. These criteria will include standardized test scores and classroom performance assessments. For example, students scoring below the 25th percentile on state-mandated tests and those receiving a grade of "C" or lower in core subjects will be prioritized. The school will employ a measurable scale using a point system, where students can earn up to 10 points based on their performance on each criterion. For instance, a student scoring in the bottom quartile on a standardized test might receive 5 points, while a student with low classroom performance might receive another 5 points. The cumulative points will determine the students' ranking, ensuring those with the highest need receive appropriate services first. This structured approach ensures that resources are allocated effectively to support the academic growth of the most vulnerable students.

**4.e** - If applicable, describe how the school will support, coordinate, and integrate services with early childhood programs at the school level, including strategies for assisting preschool children in the transition from early childhood education programs to local elementary school programs.

[Sec. 1114(b)(7)(V)]

At Richmond Hill Elementary School, we are committed to supporting, coordinating, and integrating services with early childhood programs to ensure a smooth transition for preschool children into our elementary school programs. Our strategies include collaborative partnerships with local preschools and early childhood centers, where we engage in regular communication and shared professional development opportunities. We organize orientation sessions and school tours for preschoolers and their families to familiarize them with the elementary school environment. Additionally, our staff, including kindergarten teachers and counselors, work closely with early childhood educators to align curricula and share best practices, ensuring consistency and continuity in learning experiences. By fostering strong relationships with parents and providing resources to support early learning at home, we aim to create a welcoming and supportive atmosphere that eases the transition and sets the foundation for academic success.

4.f - If applicable, describe how the school will implement strategies to facilitate effective transitions for students from middle grades to high school and from high school to postsecondary education including:

- Coordination with institutions of higher education, employers and local partners; and
- Increased student access to early college high school or dual or concurrent enrollment opportunities or career counseling to identify student interest and skills.

[Se. 1114(b)(7)(II)]

Richmond Hill Elementary School will implement strategies to ensure smooth transitions for students from middle grades to high school and from high school to postsecondary education by fostering strong coordination with institutions of higher education, local employers, and community partners. This collaboration will enable the development of programs that bridge academic and career pathways, providing students with relevant experiences and opportunities. The school will increase student access to early college high school programs, dual or concurrent enrollment opportunities, and comprehensive career counseling services. These initiatives will help students identify their interests and skills, making informed decisions about their educational and career goals, thereby facilitating a seamless transition to the next stage of their academic and professional lives.

**4.g - Describe how the school will support efforts to reduce the overuse of discipline practices that remove students from the classroom, specifically addressing the effects on all subgroups of students.**  
[Sec. 1114(b)(7)(III)]

Richmond Hill Elementary School will implement a comprehensive approach to reduce the overuse of discipline practices that remove students from the classroom, ensuring equitable treatment for all subgroups of students. This includes the adoption of positive behavioral interventions and supports (PBIS) to promote a positive school climate and address behavioral issues proactively. Teachers and staff will receive ongoing professional development on culturally responsive practices and restorative justice techniques, fostering an inclusive environment where every student feels valued and understood. The school will also establish a monitoring system to track disciplinary actions across different student subgroups, enabling data-driven decisions to address any disparities. By involving parents, caregivers, and community partners in creating supportive networks, Richmond Hill Elementary aims to keep students engaged in learning and reduce the reliance on exclusionary discipline practices.

205 Jesse Hill Jr. Drive SE  
Atlanta, GA 30334  
(404) 657-4209  
[www.gadoe.org](http://www.gadoe.org)  
[askdoe@gadoe.org](mailto:askdoe@gadoe.org)

